

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/944,595	ICHIMURA, NAOHIKO
	Examiner Anatoly Vortman	Art Unit 2835

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835

(3) Mr. Stephen Adrian, Reg. No. 32, 878

(2) Mr. Michael N. Lau, Reg. No. 39, 479

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 25 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner has telephoned to the Applicant's attorney Mr. Michael Lau on 05/17/04 with the suggestion to add limitations "generally spherical" into claim 1 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. On 05/25/04 the Applicant's attorney Mr. Stephen Adrian left a message on the examiner's answering machine with the approval of the aforementioned suggestion. For details, please see the Examiner's amendment attached herewith.



**ANATOLY VORTMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**